ASSIGNMENT 2

Exercise 1 (Bad codes)**.** Which of the following binary codes cannot be a Huffman code for any distribution? Why?

a. 0, 10, 111, 101

b. 00, 010, 011, 10, 110

c. 1, 000, 001, 010, 011

Solution. a. A Huffman code is a prefix free code but here we have 10 which is a prefix of 101.

b. This is not a Huffman code since codeword 110 does not have any sibling. Hence, the code could be improved by replacing this codeword with 11.

c. This is a Huffman code for distribution $(0.4, 0.15, 0.15, 0.15, 0.15)$ for instance.

□

Exercise 2 (Huffman codes)**.** For the distribution $(p_1, ..., p_n)$, where

$$p_1 > p_2 > \cdots > p_n > 0,$$

we have an optimal binary prefix code. Show that

a. If $p_1 > 2/5$ then the corresponding codeword has length 1.

b. If $p_1 < 1/3$ then the corresponding codeword has length at least 2.

Solution. Consider the algorithm for constructing Huffman codes. Let $(q_1, ..., q_k)$, $k \geq 1$ be the distribution at the $(n-k)$-th iteration of the algorithm, sorted in the decreasing order. Note that for $k = n$, $(q_1, ..., q_k) = (p_1, ..., p_n)$. In the next iteration, the two smallest probabilities, q_{k-1} and q_k are replaced by their sum $q_{k-1} + q_k$, then a Huffman code for set of probabilities $(q_1, ..., q_{k-2}, q_{k-1} + q_k)$ is constructed. Suppose the corresponding codes are $(C_1, \cdots, C_{k-1})$, then the Huffman code for distribution $(q_1, ..., q_k)$ will be $(C_1, \cdots, C_{k-2}, C_{k-1} * 0, C_{k-1} * 1)$ where $*$ denotes concatenation.

a. Suppose, by contradiction, that the codeword for p_1 is greater or equal than 2, and consider the first place where p_1 becomes the second largest probability. More precisely, let

$$q_1 \geq q_2 \geq \cdots \geq q_{k+1},$$

$k \geq 3$, $q_1 = p_1$ and $q_k + q_{k+1} \geq q_1$. Now, notice that $q_2 \geq q_k \geq \frac{q_k + q_{k+1}}{2} \geq \frac{q_1}{2}$. So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} q_i &\geq q_1 + q_2 + q_k + q_{k+1} \\ &\geq q_1 + \frac{q_1}{2} + q_1 = \frac{5}{2} p_1 \\ &> \frac{5}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{5} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

b. Similarly as above consider (q_1, q_2, q_3) with

$$q_1 \geq q_2 \geq q_3,$$

and $q_1 = p_1$. Then

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^{3} q_i \leq 3q_1 = 3p_1 < 3 \cdot \frac{1}{3} = 1,$$

a contradiction.

□

Exercise 3 (Huffman code for a wrong source)**.** The purpose of this problem is to see what happens when you design a code for the wrong set of probabilities. Consider a Huffman code that is designed for a symbol source whose probability is given by P. Suppose that we use this code for the source with distribution Q. Find the average number of binary code symbols per source symbol and compare it with the entropy of the source for the following.

1. $P = (0.5, 0.3, 0.2)$, $Q = (0.65, 0.2, 0.15)$
2. $P = (0.5, 0.3, 0.2)$, $Q = (0.15, 0.2, 0.65)$
3. $P = (0.5, 0.3, 0.1, 0.1)$, $Q = (0.3, 0.2, 0.3, 0.2)$

Can the optimal codes for P and Q be the same?

Solution. Let $L(X)$ denote the length of the codeword for symbol X. Let $\mathbb{E}_Q[L]$ denote the expected value of $L(X)$ and $H_Q(X)$ denote the entropy when X has distribution Q.

1. A code for P is $(0, 10, 11)$ and $\mathbb{E}_Q[L] = 0.65 \times 1 + 0.2 \times 2 + 0.15 \times 2 = 1.35$. We calculate the entropy to be $H_Q(X) \approx 1.28$. The optimal code for P and Q could be the same.
2. A code for P is $(0, 10, 11)$ and $\mathbb{E}_Q[L] = 0.15 \times 1 + 0.2 \times 2 + 0.65 \times 2 = 1.65$. The entropy is the same as in the case above. The optimal code for P and Q are different but the set of codewords could be the same.
3. A code for P is $(0, 10, 110, 111)$ and $\mathbb{E}_Q[L] = 0.3 \times 1 + 0.2 \times 2 + 0.3 \times 3 + 0.2 \times 3 = 2.2$. We calculate the entropy to be $H_Q(X) \approx 1.97$.

□

Exercise 4 (Shannon code, divergence)**.** Suppose we wrongly estimate the probability of a source of information, and that we use a Shannon code for a distribution Q whereas the true distribution is P. Show that

$$H(P) + D(P||Q) \leq L(C) \leq H(P) + D(P||Q) + 1\,.$$

So $D(P||Q)$ can be interpreted as the increase in descriptive complexity due to incorrect information. Note that this interpretaion only holds for a Shannon code. For a Huffman code with $P = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ and $Q = (2^{-50}, 1 - 2^{-50})$ the inequality is violated.

Solution. For a Shannon code for distribution Q, the length of the codeword of a symbol X is $\left\lceil \log \frac{1}{Q(X)} \right\rceil$. Let $\mathbb{E}_P[\cdot]$ denote the expectation under the distribution P. Observe that

$$\log \frac{1}{Q(X)} \leq \left\lceil \log \frac{1}{Q(X)} \right\rceil \leq \log \frac{1}{Q(X)} + 1.$$

Then, the result follows from the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_P \left[\log \frac{1}{Q(X)} \right] &= \sum_x P(x) \log \frac{1}{Q(x)} \\
&= \sum_x P(x) \log \left(\frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} \frac{1}{P(x)} \right) \\
&= \sum_x P(x) \log \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} + \sum_x P(x) \log \frac{1}{P(x)} \\
&= D(P||Q) + H(P)
\end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 5 (Huffman Codes)**.** The sequence of six independent realizations of source X is encoded symbol-by-symbol using a binary Huffman code. The resulted string is 10110000101. We know that the alphabet of X has five elements and that its distribution is either $(0.4, 0.3, 0.2, 0.05, 0.05)$ or $(0.3, 0.25, 0.2, 0.2, 0.05)$. Which of them is the distribution of X?

Solution. By the result in Exer.3*b*., every codeword in a Huffman code for the second distribution should be of length at least 2. We know that there are 6 realizations of X and hence the string 10110000101 (of length 11) could not have been produced by a Huffman code for the second distribution. A possible Huffman code for the first distribution, namely $(0.4, 0.3, 0.2, 0.05, 0.05)$ is $(1, 01, 000, 0010, 0011)$ (Note that Huffman codes are not unique!). Using this code, one can decode the string 10110000101 as $1, 01, 1, 000, 01, 01$. Hence, the probability distribution of X is $(0.4, 0.3, 0.2, 0.05, 0.05)$. □

Exercise 6 (Entropy bound)**.** Let $p(x)$ be a probability mass function of random variable X. Prove that

$$\log(1/d) Pr\{p(X) \leq d\} \leq H(X)$$

for any $d \geq 0$. *Hint* – Use Markov's inequality.

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned}
Pr\{p(X) \leq d\} &= Pr\{-\log p(X) \geq -\log d\} \\
&\leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[-\log p(X)\right]}{-\log d}
\end{aligned}$$

by Markov's inequality. The result follows by observing that $\mathbb{E}\left[-\log p(X)\right] = H(X)$. □

Exercise 7 (Entropy)**.** Let X and Y be the outcomes of a pair of dice thrown independently (hence each independently takes on values in $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ with equal probabilities). Let $Z = X + Y$ and let $Q = Z \mod 2$. Compute the following entropies: $H(X)$, $H(Y)$, $H(Z)$, $H(Q)$.

Solution. X and Y are uniform random variables over $\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$, so

$$H(X) = H(Y) = \log_2(6).$$

The probability distribution of Z is

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ \frac{1}{36} & \frac{2}{36} & \frac{3}{36} & \frac{4}{36} & \frac{5}{36} & \frac{6}{36} & \frac{5}{36} & \frac{4}{36} & \frac{3}{36} & \frac{2}{36} & \frac{1}{36} \end{pmatrix}$$

So, $H(Z) = 3.27$. The probability distribution of Q is

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

an so $H(Q) = 1$.

□

Exercise 8 (Entropy)**.** Let X be a random variable taking values in M points $a_1, \ldots, a_M$ and let $p_X(a_M) = \alpha$. Show that

$$H(X) = -\alpha \log \alpha - (1-\alpha)\log(1-\alpha) + (1-\alpha)H(Y)$$

where Y is a random variable taking values in $M-1$ points $a_1, \ldots, a_{M-1}$ with probabilities $P_Y(a_j) = P_X(a_j)/(1-\alpha)$ for $1 \leq j \leq M-1$. Show that

$$H(X) \leq -\alpha \log \alpha - (1-\alpha)\log(1-\alpha) + (1-\alpha)\log(M-1)$$

and determine the condition for equality.

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned} H(X) &= -\sum_{j=1}^{M} p_X(a_j) \log p_X(a_j) \\ &= -\alpha \log \alpha - \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} p_X(a_j) \log p_X(a_j) \\ &= -\alpha \log \alpha - (1-\alpha) \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} \frac{p_X(a_j)}{1-\alpha} \log \left(\frac{p_X(a_j)}{(1-\alpha)} (1-\alpha) \right) \\ &= -\alpha \log \alpha - (1-\alpha) \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} p_Y(a_j) \Big\{ \log p_Y(a_j) + \log(1-\alpha) \Big\} \\ &= -\alpha \log \alpha - (1-\alpha)\log(1-\alpha) + (1-\alpha)H(Y) \end{aligned}$$

where for the final equality we used $\sum_{j=1}^{M-1} p_Y(a_j) = 1-\alpha$.

To prove that

$$H(X) \leq -\alpha \log \alpha - (1-\alpha)\log(1-\alpha) + (1-\alpha)\log(M-1)$$

it suffices to observe that Y takes at most $M-1$ values, hence its entropy is at most $\log(M-1)$. Equality is achieved when the distribution of Y is uniform over the $M-1$ points; that is, when $p_Y(a_j) = 1/(M-1)$ for $1 \leq j \leq M-1$, whereby

$$p_X = \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{M-1}, \frac{1-\alpha}{M-1}, \ldots, \frac{1-\alpha}{M-1}, \alpha \right).$$

□